

Domain of Relativistic Mechanics.

Pradeep Koshy

Abstract : *.It is astonishing to note that ,the Special Relativity Theory Equations are incongruous beyond half the speed of light, by mere Number Theory considerations ,specifically ‘time dilation’ and ‘length contraction’ equations. Nevertheless, there is an exception to this theory,for particles of masses less than 1kg, or time intervals between events which are less than 1s or length contraction less than 300000km ,where it could be absolutely accurate as Einstein predicted and therefore it implies,these could change upto only 13.3%,approximately.*

Index terms: Relativity,time dilation,length contraction,mass variance, number theory,algebraic formulae,Theory of Everything.

----- .• -----

1.Introduction

Einstein's Theory undermined.

A century old theory is being investigated by a mind for 20 years. The result in this case, Einstein's theory seems to be shattered.

We will prove it in 3 parts.

PART1: A three way related algebraic formula developed by me and its significance like number theory could

be the basis of even a theory like Special theory of Relativity.

PART2: In the shadow of Einstein.

PART3:Relativistic Mechanics probed to find the domain of it.Note that , part3 might seem independent, but the formula derived in part1 has a bearing on this.

Part – 1:

2.Lord Kelvin’s Statement

“I often say, if you can express what you know of something, in numbers, you know something about it. Otherwise, your knowledge is of a meager and unsatisfactory kind. It may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely in your thoughts advanced to the stage of science, whatever the matter may be”.

$$\begin{aligned}28^2 &= 784 \\29^2 &= 841 \\30^2 &= 900\end{aligned}$$

The difference between the first and last numbers, second and second last numbers and so on are as follows: 500, 400, 300, 200 and 100. This difference looked unbelievable to me. To my observation, it was stunning to observe that the squares of the difference of numbers was falling into a definite pattern.

3.The bombshell of the 21st century: An algebraic formula developed by me

I was preparing for SAT almost 2 decades back. I studied and observed squares of numbers.

$$\begin{aligned}20^2 &= 400 \\21^2 &= 441 \\22^2 &= 484 \\23^2 &= 529 \\24^2 &= 576 \\25^2 &= 625 \\26^2 &= 676 \\27^2 &= 729\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}30^2 - 20^2 &= 500 = [26^2 - 24^2] \times 5 \\29^2 - 21^2 &= 400 = [26^2 - 24^2] \times 4 \\28^2 - 22^2 &= 300 = [26^2 - 24^2] \times 3 \\27^2 - 23^2 &= 200 = [26^2 - 24^2] \times 2 \\26^2 - 24^2 &= 100 = [26^2 - 24^2] \times 1\end{aligned}$$

At this stage, insight, institution and creativity had been triggered. The pattern, was further checked and studied by extending it to 10-20 range.

$$10^2 = 100$$

$$11^2 = 121$$

$$12^2 = 144$$

$$13^2 = 169$$

$$14^2 = 196$$

$$15^2 = 225$$

$$16^2 = 256$$

$$17^2 = 289$$

$$18^2 = 324$$

$$19^2 = 361$$

$$20^2 = 400$$

$$20^2 - 10^2 = 300 = 60 \times 5$$

$$19^2 - 11^2 = 240 = 60 \times 4$$

$$18^2 - 12^2 = 180 = 60 \times 3$$

$$17^2 - 13^2 = 120 = 60 \times 2$$

$$16^2 - 14^2 = 60 = 60 \times 1$$

These observations, were further extensively checked and it led me to the formula.

$$[N^2 - (N-2)^2]X = 4(N-1)X = [N - (1-X)]^2 - [N - (1+X)]^2$$
 where N and X are two variables.

Now, it is the triumph of creativity. It is a three way related formulae and hence, can be used to pose a question like given an equation, $[A^2 - B^2] C = D = E^2 - F^2$ where given E and F

to find A, B, C and D. or alternatively posed as given A and C, to find B, D, E and F. where the

Capital letters denote numbers, either Real or Complex. This is a formula so revolutionary

that I would call it miracle equation. It is God's gift to me. Note, that my formula is capable

of solving 2 variables in a single equation, which is conventionally impossible from a mathematical

point of view. Also, understand that the modified form of special theory of relativity equations contain 3 variables. For instance $(t')^2 = (t)^2 - (tv/c)^2$. Using my formula, 2 of the variables are determinate. Hence, the only remaining variable, which is the only unknown now could be found out. In effect, in totality, in reality, all the variables can be determined or solved i.e. meaningful interpretation of the same can be made. From hereon, we proceed keeping this in mind. No longer is the Special Theory of Relativity Equations mysterious, inscrutable or perplexing. Armed with a formula like the above, we will pursue the same.

Pythagoreans believed that the number 4 governs the world. It is there in my formula.

Number theory can be the key to the understanding or unlocking the limits of

the special theory of Relativity. It reinforces the maxim "Mathematics is the Mother of all sciences".

4. VITAL DEDUCTION: The formula developed by me, serves as a way of solving two variables minimum or upto 3, maximum in a single equation relating two sets of difference of squares of numbers. Hence, it is a paradox from mathematical point of view, although, it is only a particular solution. That can be miraculous, in nature, will be proved later, when considered it is analogous to special relativity equations in number theory form. Something of trivial significance, is mentioned below.

5. Deviation : (Formula has one more use)
 Let me rearrange the formula:

$$[\sqrt{\{N^2 - (N-2)^2\}}X]^2 + [N - (1+X)]^2 = [N - (1-X)]^2$$

ie of the form $A^2 + B^2 = C^2$

Hence,
 Pythagorean triplets can be generated by using this formula.

6. IN THE SHADOW OF EINSTEIN – Applications in Special Theory of Relativity

The formula was invented 2 decades back. It was rejected, or considered trivial among most people I met or submitted, in India. Subconsciously, feeling always, analogous to Special Relativity-- those issues, were boiling in my mind, for more than a decade, when at last, it was serving as a catapult to my long standing cherished view, that it could be applied to Special Relativity equations and the domain of Relativistic Mechanics could be identified. I was awestruck at how ,I arrived at it.

The sequence of events:

Knowledge of inequalities(Algebra branch) is required in order to understand this.

TIME DILATON EQUATION

$$t = \frac{t'}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

where t is the time measured in S frame is slower than the time t' measured in inertial frame S' by time dilation equations. In all these cases, c stands for velocity of light and equal

to it, whereas v is the velocity of the frame S' with respect to S.

On rearranging the relativistic equation ,simplifies to $(t'c)^2 = (tc)^2 - (tv)^2$, which finally leads to $(t')^2 = (t)^2 - (tv/c)^2$

We proceed like this: At this stage, my invented formula's most simplified form will be adequate in proving my contention. A simpler form of my formula could be treated as ,the formula $4AB = (A+B)^2 -$

$(A-B)^2$, when we apply $(N-1)=A$ and $X=B$ which on further simplification leads to

$AB = [(A+B)/2]^2 - [(A-B)/2]^2$ by rearranging, will thereby have the merits and advantages of my formula , which is worth mentioning.

Please note also, in reality,

$(A+B)/2 > (A-B)/2$ for both A and B greater than 0.

. 8. CASE 1

BOARD A/PHYSICS	BOARD B/NUMBER THEORY	BOARD C MATHEMATICS
STEP1	STEP1	STEP1
<p>$(t')^2 = (t)^2 - (tv/c)^2$, time dilation equation modified form involving 3 variables, which have their usual meanings.</p>	<p>$AB = [(A+B)/2]^2 - [(A-B)/2]^2$ is true and has the properties of miracle equation</p>	<p>$(k)(k-2w) = (k-w)^2 - (w)^2$ is an algebraic identity involving 2 variables.</p>
STEP2	STEP2	STEP2
<p>Note, that each term of the above equation has the same unit. (second squared)</p>	<p>All Equations are analogous to $X^2 = Y^2 - Z^2$, in which X equals square root of (AB) or</p>	<p>Further let $Z = w = tv/c$ above. Let us introduce $w = tv/c$, then $(k)(k-2tv/c) = (k-tv/c)^2 - (tv/c)^2$</p>
STEP3	STEP3	Since
<p>But $t > 0$ and $t' > 0$ and $t < k$, hence maximum of $t = k$, comparing physics equation divested of its units, with mathematics equation</p>	<p>This is the instance when physics and mathematics are identical. STEP3 Maximum of $tv/c = kv/c$ is the first value of w.</p>	<p>$A > 0, B > 0$ & $A > B$, we get $(tv < ck/2)$, Also $(A+B/2) > 0$ gives $(tv < ck)$,</p>
	STEP4	ergo,
	<p>Equating first value of w with second value, we get $kv/c = k/2$. Cancelling out k from both sides in it and rearranging, we get maximum of $v = c/2$ units.</p>	<p>$tv < ck/2$ is the deduction. Hence, the maximum value of $tv = ck/2$, since even $(A-B/2) > 0$</p>
		STEP3
		<p>It follows since max. of $tv = ck/2$, therefore, max. of $tv/c = k/2$. This is the second value of w.</p>

VERY IMPORTANT

In the basic equation $[N^2 - (N-2)^2]X = 4(N-1)X = [N-(1-X)]^2 - [N-(1+X)]^2$

where N and X are two variables.,N,(N - 1) and (N - 2) ought to be positive which implies k has to be greater than 1.

9.CASE2

For values of $k < 1$, the valid equation is

$$t = \frac{t'}{\sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}}$$

,where Einstein's special theory of relativity be valid and the limitations,I tried to impose upon invalid.Hence v almost could be equal to c ,implies $t < 1$,which also implies $t' < 1$.

10. Conclusion:

Yes, we can reduce a two dimensional multiplication to a squaring operation in a single dimension (though this can raise the question of whether or not higher dimensional spaces can inversely be constructed by operations performed within a single dimension! I think this is true and that the dimensionality of a space arises from quantities and the inherent diversity of qualities in the context of which information is presented)

CASE1

Maximum of $v = \frac{c}{2}$ units in $t_v < kc/2$, time in frame S', t' , element of 1 to k seconds
and also $t < k$ seconds, where k stands for any fixed value, however large in seconds, greater than 1.

In case 1 not only numerical verification is done by number theory developed by me, even if, units and dimensions are considered as issues, will not hamper the conclusion.

In less than or equal to 1 second time interval between events, mass less than 1 kg or length contraction less than 300000km, Einstein's special theory of relativity is 100% accurate. Beyond the same, it is only accurate upto half the speed of light, for

Time dilation , mass variation and Length contraction .Also, Special Relativity is absurd in momentum and energy considerations also in this affected domain.

Ergo, my theory serves to destroy, a century's unabashed approval of Special Theory of Relativity by world's leading scientists , of much, of its miraculous nature. Einstein's view “The distinction between past, present and future is illusory, however tenacious, it may appear to be” does not seem vindicated.

Cosmic speed or speed barrier for particles above 1kg is proved to be half the speed of light. There is no Theory of Everything.

11. *References*

[1] University Physics by Sears, Zemanski & Young.

[2] The Feynmann Lectures by Richard P. Feynmann, Matthew W. Sands & Robert B. Leighton.

[3] Special Theory of Relativity by Resnick.

[4] Fundamentals of Physics by Halliday, Resnick & Walker.

[5] Superstrings and the search for the Theory of Everything by David F. Peat.

Author

**Pradeep Koshy,
Independent Researcher,
Cochin, Kerala, India**

Mob: +91-9895854062

Email: koshy68@gmail.com

